



Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Article contents

Abstract

References

Do knowledge representations facilitate learning under epistemic uncertainty?

Published online by Cambridge University Press: 19 November 2021

In response to: **Knowledge before belief**

[Related commentaries \(36\)](#) [Author response](#)

Isaac J. Handley-Miner  and Liane Young 

Commentary [Related commentaries](#) [Metrics](#)

Abstract

Phillips and colleagues argue that knowledge representations are more fundamental than belief representations because they better facilitate social learning. We suggest that existing theory of mind paradigms may be ill-equipped to adequately evaluate this claim. Future study should explore learning in situations where there is uncertainty about one's own and others' knowledge, which better mirror real-world social learning contexts.

Type	Open Peer Commentary
Information	Behavioral and Brain Sciences , Volume 44, 2021, e156 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X20001806
Copyright	Copyright © The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press



Phillips and colleagues posit that the adaptive value of “knowledge before belief” is the superiority of knowledge representations for learning in social contexts. Although this hypothesis seems reasonable in the context of paradigms common to theory of mind work, these paradigms eliminate many forms of uncertainty that, in the real world, complicate the process of deciding what to learn, and from whom. In particular, the empirical research featured in the target article leaves little room for (1) uncertainty about the subject's own knowledge and/or (2) uncertainty about other agents' knowledge. Yet, in daily life, people generally experience some degree of uncertainty about these epistemic features. Thus, there is a mismatch between the social learning contexts in these studies and those in the real world.

To illustrate the adaptive learning function of knowledge representations, Phillips and colleagues describe a hypothetical situation in which a ball is placed in one of two boxes in

the presence of an agent, and a subject wants to know which box contains the ball. The authors argue that knowledge representations, more so than belief representations, help the subject determine whether they can learn the ball's location from the agent. This situation exemplifies many of the paradigms cited in support of the argument that knowledge representations emerge earlier than belief representations; thus, we will use it to illustrate how these paradigms fail to accommodate various forms of uncertainty that often occur in real-world learning contexts.

The first way in which many theory of mind paradigms eliminate uncertainty is by providing the subject direct observational access to the event of interest (e.g., the ball's location), effectively setting the subject's priors about the event at ceiling (e.g., Bräuer, Call, & Tomasello, 2007; Luo & Baillargeon, 2007). In doing so, these paradigms grant the subject the knowledge that the subject represents in the agent; that is, the subject knows where the ball is, and they represent that the agent knows where the ball is. This renders knowledge representations inconsequential for learning; the subject already knows what they would otherwise want to learn.

Second, even in paradigms in which the subject does not have direct observational access to the event of interest, the subject usually has direct observational access to the fact that another agent has direct observational access to the event of interest; that is, the subject sees that the agent sees where the ball is (e.g., Behne, Liskowski, Carpenter, & Tomasello, 2012; Krachun, Carpenter, Call, & Tomasello, 2009). However, in the real world, the set of situations in which people directly observe another individual acquire complete knowledge without acquiring it themselves is narrow. Often, people are uncertain to some degree about whether someone else has relevant knowledge for their own proximate learning goal. For example, if I want to know whether a fruit is healthy, I may rely on my observation of others eating the fruit. However, I cannot be certain whether the fruit-eaters know that the fruit is healthy, whether they are just very hungry, or whether they simply find the fruit tasty. In other words, I am uncertain about whether they know what I am trying to learn.

Similarly, in addition to eliminating uncertainty around *whether* an agent has knowledge, these paradigms also eliminate uncertainty around *how much* knowledge an agent has. In most paradigms cited in the target article, the agent is either fully ignorant (e.g., has their back turned while the ball is placed in a box) or fully knowledgeable (e.g., can perfectly see which box contains the ball) (e.g., Pratt & Bryant, 1990; Sodian, Thoermer, & Dietrich, 2006). Yet the agents that people seek to learn from are often neither fully knowledgeable nor fully ignorant – they have some amount of relevant knowledge that people must infer from cues such as reputation (e.g., expertise), self-report, or testimony from others.

We believe that a clearer test of Phillips and colleagues' argument about the adaptive value of knowledge representations requires additional empirical investigation into knowledge and belief representations under uncertainty. In situations of uncertainty, do those who can attribute beliefs as well as knowledge still construct knowledge representations with greater automaticity and cognitive ease? Do those who *cannot* attribute beliefs, but can attribute knowledge, still construct knowledge representations? If so, do they act on these knowledge representations as they do in the situations used in existing paradigms? These questions are not an indictment of the knowledge-before-belief claim or the logic of the hypothesis that knowledge representations are more fundamental than belief representations because they better facilitate learning. Rather, we believe that answers to these questions will elucidate how well early knowledge representations actually facilitate social learning, and thus how likely it is that this adaptive argument applies to learning across contexts and across the lifespan.

Although our commentary focuses primarily on two sources of uncertainty underexplored in existing paradigms – uncertainty around one's own and others' knowledge – it is important to note that knowledge representations, on their own, may have limited value for effective social learning absent other mental-state representations. In particular, representing the beliefs, desires, or motivations of others is often critical for helping people to figure out whom to learn from. It is important, for example, to know not only who knows what, but also who can be trusted to share their knowledge, without misleading or obscuring, and without other ulterior motives. Inferring others' beliefs, desires, or motivations could help unlock the adaptive social-learning benefits that the authors argue knowledge representations confer.

In sum, we believe that most existing paradigms examining knowledge attributions in primates and young children do not account for the fact that (1) people are usually learning what they do not already know and (2) people are usually uncertain about what others know and the extent of that knowledge. Under such epistemic uncertainty, do primates and young children still represent knowledge, and, if so, how useful are these representations for learning? Future research that tackles these questions will offer insight into the potential adaptive value of knowledge representations.

Financial support

This study has been supported by the John Templeton Foundation (grant number 61061).

Conflict of interest

None.

References

- Behne, T., Liszkowski, U., Carpenter, M., & Tomasello, M. (2012). Twelve-month-olds' comprehension and production of pointing. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 30(3), 359–375. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-835X.2011.02043.x>. [CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#) [PubMed](#)
- Bräuer, J., Call, J., & Tomasello, M. (2007). Chimpanzees really know what others can see in a competitive situation. *Animal Cognition*, 10(4), 439–448. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10071-007-0088-1>. [CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Krachun, C., Carpenter, M., Call, J., & Tomasello, M. (2009). A competitive nonverbal false belief task for children and apes. *Developmental Science*, 12(4), 521–535. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2008.00793.x>. [CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#) [PubMed](#)
- Luo, Y., & Baillargeon, R. (2007). Do 12.5-month-old infants consider what objects others can see when interpreting their actions? *Cognition*, 105(3), 489–512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2006.10.007>. [CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#) [PubMed](#)
- Pratt, C., & Bryant, P. (1990). Young children understand that looking leads to knowing (so long as they are looking into a single barrel). *Child Development*, 61(4), 973–982. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.1990.tb02835.x>. [CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#)
- Sodian, B., Thoermer, C., & Dietrich, N. (2006). Two- to four-year-old children's differentiation of knowing and guessing in a non-verbal task. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 3(3), 222–237. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17405620500423173>. [CrossRef](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Related content

AI-generated results: by
UNSILO

Article

Constructing an understanding of mind: The development of children's social understanding within social interaction

Jeremy I. M. Carpendale and Charlie Lewis

[Behavioral and Brain Sciences](#)

Published online: 1 February 2004

Article

Cultural learning

Michael Tomasello, Ann Cale Kruger and Hilary Horn Ratner

[Behavioral and Brain Sciences](#)

Published online: 4 February 2010

Article

Developing semiotic activity in cultural contexts

B. van Oers

[Behavioral and Brain Sciences](#)

Published online: 4 February 2010

Article

Imitation, cultural learning and the origins of “theory of mind”

Alison Gopnik and Andrew Meltzoff

[Behavioral and Brain Sciences](#)

Published online: 4 February 2010

Article

Are children with autism acultural?

Simon Baron-Cohen

[Behavioral and Brain Sciences](#)

Published online: 4 February 2010

Article

The primate behavioral continuum: What are its limits?

Barbara J. King

[Behavioral and Brain Sciences](#)

Published online: 4 February 2010

Article

From intra- to interpsychological analysis of cognition: Cognitive science at a developmental crossroad

Boris M. Velichkovsky

[Behavioral and Brain Sciences](#)

Published online: 4 February 2010

Article

Cultural learning: Are there functional consequences?

Marc D. Mauser

[Behavioral and Brain Sciences](#)

Published online: 4 February 2010

Article

Cultural learning is cultural

Bernard Schneuwly

[Behavioral and Brain Sciences](#)

Published online: 4 February 2010

Article

Cultural learning as the transmission mechanism in an evolutionary process

Liane M. Gabora

[Behavioral and Brain Sciences](#)

Published online: 4 February 2010
