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Exploratory Analyses

Pre-election popular vote estimates in Experiment 3 among voters in Experiment 4. We also analyzed pre-election popular vote bias in those who did not vote, compared to Trump voters and Clinton voters. Aligning with their expectations for a Clinton win, we found that people who ultimately did not vote showed a Clinton-favoring, but slightly more “middle-of-the-road,” estimation pattern of the popular vote (see Figure S1).

Specifically, people who reported that they did not vote in Experiment 4 gave estimates of Clinton’s popular vote (n=57; M(SD following) = 54% (11.7)) that were significantly lower than Clinton voters’ estimates (n=329; M = 58% (9.6)) but significantly higher than Trump voters’ (n=217; M = 46% (10.9), F(2,600) = 91.7, p < .001; \( \eta^2_{\text{partial}} = .23 \)) in Experiment 3. Likewise, their estimates for Trump’s popular vote (M = 41% (11.0)) were significantly higher than Clinton voters’ estimates (M =37% (9.2)) but significantly lower than Trump voters’ (M = 50% (11.4), F(2,600) = 121.6, p<.001; \( \eta^2_{\text{partial}} = .29 \)). In sum, those who did not vote by and large

Figure S1. Popular vote predictions from Experiment 3 graphed by reported voter behavior in Experiment 4. The people who did not vote tended to expect Clinton to win and showed estimates similar to Clinton supporters’. However, they showed a significantly reduced pattern of bias in her favor, compared to those who ultimately did vote for Clinton.
had expected Clinton to win, but their pre-election popular vote biases were reduced compared to those who reported actually voting for Clinton. These results contrast with non-voters’ mathematical biases (reported in the main text), which were larger (in favor of Clinton, deflating Trump), compared to those who voted.